Scientific Literature Review Resident Guidelines

Review Scientific Monthly Abstract Instructions before you start your review.

Title
• Please copy title exactly how it appears in the article

Reference
• Please use the pubmed reference formatting. This should appear exactly as it does in the pubmed search.

Scientific Literature Review

Reviewed By
• Resident name performing the review

Residency Program
• Residency name including city and state

Podiatric Relevance:
• This section should be brief, however direct and to the point.
• Why is this article interesting to a podiatric surgeon? Perhaps this is the reason why you chose this particular article.
• What hypothesis or clinical question(s) were the authors attempting to answer?

Methods:
• Do not directly copy and paste from the article and/or abstract. This is not intended to discuss every minute detail from the methods, however it is intended to give the reader an overview of the study performed.
• Briefly review the study design and outcome measures utilized to answer the hypothesis or clinical question.

Results:
• What were the specific results of the investigation?
• You may list results or discuss results. This should include results of the outcome measures discussed in the methods section.

Conclusions:
• You have the most flexibility with this section and really should be the substance of the review.
• What did the authors conclude from the above results?
• What conclusions do you reach from the above results?
• How will this information help (or not) you treat the next patient you encounter?

Note: Submission must be in MS Word, single spaced (zero spacing before and after each line), Times New Roman, size 10, 500 word limit (excluding Title, Reference, Reviewed By and Residency Program).