

The Clinical Implications of a Low-Frequency High-Intensity Direct Contact **Ultrasound In Limb Salvage**

Brett C. Chatman D.P.M. AACFAS, Charles A. Messa I.V. B.S., Irfan Rhemtulla M.D. M.S., Dr. Albert D'Angelantonio, D.P.M, Dr. Stephen J. Kovach, M.D., Dr. John P. Fischer M.D., M.P.H.

HYPOTHESIS

Utilization of a low-frequency (22.4kHz), high-intensity (~60 W/cm2) direct contact ultrasound device for debridement of lower extremity wounds allows for reduction in time to healing, and decrease postoperative infection rate.

METHODS

- This study is based upon a retrospective singlecenter database from 2010-2016 of eighty-nine lower extremity wounds was conducted in sixty-five patients.
- Patients presenting with a wide variety of wounds were evaluated by an interdisciplinary team of consisting of plastic and podiatric surgery.
- Inclusion criteria consisted of:
 - Patients presenting with one or more lower extremity wounds who underwent ultrasonic surgical debridement by a member of the team.
- Wound healing was assessed at 60, 120, and 180day post-ultrasonic debridement.
- Studeis conducted:
 - Descriptive statistics
 - Post-debridement outcomes
 - **Regression analysis**



Debridements Skin Substitute Failure

Figure 1: Pre & Post Debridement

Post-Operative Outcomes	
60-Day Post-Misonix	
<25% Reduction	19 (22%)
25%-50% Wound Reduction	26 (30%)
50%-75% Wound Reduction	17 (20%)
100% Wound Reduction	15 (17.4%)
Unknown (Lost to F/U or Amp.)	9 (10.4%)
120-Day Post-Misonix	
<25% Reduction	8 (9.3%)
$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{C}}$	
25%-50% Wound Reduction	26 (30%)
50%-75% Wound Reduction	16 (18.6%)
100% Wound Reduction	22 (26%)
	22 (2070)
Unknown (Lost to F/U or Amp.)	14 (16.3%)
enknown (Lost to 17 e of 7 mp.)	11 (10.370)
180-Day Post-Misonix	
<25% Reduction	8 (9.3%)
25%-50% Wound Reduction	20 (23.2%)
50%-75% Wound Reduction	11 (12.8%)
100% Wound Reduction	28 (32.6%)
Unknown (Lost to F/U or Amp.)	17 (19.8%)

Figure 3: Post-Operative Outcomes-2



Post-Operative Outcomes Average Length of Hospital Stay 7.93 days Average Length of Procedure 57 mins. Avg. Number of Post-Misonix 1.3 Readmission Post-Misonix 47 (52.8%) Total Number of Reoperations 91 Further Debridements 37 (40.6%) 21 (23%) Split Thickness Skin Graft Placement Skin Substitute Placement 16 (17.5%) 2 (2.2%) Flap placement/coverage Reoperations Related to Wound Complications 7 (7.7%) **Below-Knee Amputation** 2 (2.2%) Above-Knee Amputation 3 (3.3%) Split Thickness Skin Graft Failure

2 (2.2%)

Figure 2: Post-Operative Outcomes

Figure 4: Partial Debridement

- High-risk comorbidities included
 - Smoking (43%, n=28), Diabetes (55.4%, n=36), Peripheral vascular disease (56.9%, n=37), Hypertension (68%, n=44)
- was 9.8cm x 7.4cm
- 60-days post-ultrasonic debridement:
 - achieving 50%-100% wound reduction
- 180-day post-ultrasonic debridement:
 - wound reduction.
- Limb salvage rate was 91.1% (n=79) Amputations occurred in 8.9% (n=10)
- 180-day outcomes.

In our system, a coordinated multidisciplinary approach with the utilization of a lowfrequency high-intensity direct contact ultrasonic debridement tool on patients presenting with lower extremity wounds improves time to healing with positive wound healing outcomes and an increase in limb salvage rates. Utilizing the low-frequency (22.4kHz), high-intensity (~60 W/cm2) ultrasound was efficient at removing biofilm and tissue necrosis while minimizing viable tissue loss and promoting a healthy granular wound bed. In a complex patient population, our results suggest that ultrasonic surgical debridement is a safe and effective adjunctive therapy in the management of chronic wound healing.



RESULTS

Eighty-nine patients evaluated by the multidisciplinary team who underwent lowfrequency (22.4kHz), high-intensity (~60 W/cm2) direct contact ultrasonic debridement were identified. Of these patients, sixty-five were classified as having a lower extremity wound and had complete medical records throughout the studied follow up period. • Mean age was 57.0 years and average BMI was 31.1kg/m².

The average wound age at initial presentation was 555.7 days and average wound size

• 68.5% (n=61) of patients had greater than 25% wound reduction, with 35% (n=31)

• 75% (n=67) received greater than 25% reduction with 65% (n=58) reaching 50-100%

• Regression model analysis showed a history of smoking (p=0.028) or diabetes (p=0.004) was independently associated with decreased wound healing outcomes at 120-days. The absence of diabetes was associated with successful wound healing rates (p=0.035) at

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSION