



## Assessment of Atavistic Cuneiform Traits in Patients with Pathologic Bunions Matthew Schmidt, DPM; David Eastman, DPM; Jennifer Sweet, DPM, FACFAS; Angela Freeman, DPM, AACFAS

#### **Statement of Purpose**

Obliquity of the first metatarsal-medial cuneiform joint, commonly referred to as an atavistic cuneiform, has long been argued in its role in bunion deformity. The aim of this study is to assess atavistic cuneiform traits on patients in whom bunion procedures have been performed.

#### Methods and Procedures

Radiographs of 197 feet on whom a bunion procedure was performed from 6 different surgeons from 1/4/18-5/10/19 were analyzed retrospectively. Preoperative intermetatarsal, Engel's, and first metatarsal-medial cuneiform obliquity (Figure 1 & 2) angles were measured and type of procedure performed recorded. All radiographs were taken from highly trained radiology technicians with experience in foot and ankle. Pearson Correlation Coefficients statistical analysis was performed for relationship of variables and significance.



Figure 1. First metatarsal-medial cuneiform obliquity with greater measured angle Figure 2. First metatarsal-medial cuneiform obliquity with lesser measured angle

#### Literature Review

Published literature provides conflicting evidence on whether atavistic cuneiform truly exist and if it plays a significant role in hallux abducto valgus deformities. Patel et al (1) found no correlation between the intermetatarsal angle and the first metatarsal-medial cuneiform obliquity angle. We set out to use the same guidelines of measurements established by Patel et al since no standardized radiographic definition of medial cuneiform obliquity has been established. Dayton et al (2) and Hatch et al (3) used different definitions of measurements for cuneiform obliquity but found differing evidence. Dayton et al found a positive relationship between preop intermetatarsal angle and cuneiform obliquity though it was not statistically significant while Hatch et al found no correlation with bunion deformities. Others (4,5) have suggested differences in the medial cuneiform obliquity angle in radiographic appearance based on positioning.

| Procedure                              | Amount Performed |
|--|------------------|
| Distal Metatarsal<br>Osteotomy         | 96               |
| Silver                                 | 10               |
| First<br>Metatarsophalangeal<br>Fusion | 13               |
| SERI Bunionectomy                      | 15               |
| Akin Osteotomy                         | 2                |
| Lapidus                                | 59               |
| Closing Base Wedge<br>Osteotomy        | 1                |
| Opening Base Wedge<br>Osteotomy        | 1                |

Table 1. Distribution of procedure performed

United Health Services Hospitals

| Angle                     | Mean  | Standard Deviat |
|---------------------------|-------|-----------------|
| PreOP Intermetatarsal     | 13.51 | 3.41            |
| PreOP Engel's             | 21.29 | 5.33            |
| PreOP Cuneiform Obliquity | 18.02 | 5.29            |

Table 2. Average preoperative measurements

#### **Results**

A total of 197 feet were reviewed. Distribution of procedures performed are shown in Table 1. First metatarsal-medial cuneiform obliquity angle averaged 18.02; Preoperative intermetatarsal angle averaged 13.51; with correlation coefficient of 0.4791 (P value < 0.0001) between the two. Average Engel's angle of 21.29 with a -0.1318 correlation (P value 0.0648) to first metatarsal-medial cuneiform obliquity angle (Tables 2 and 3). The medial cuneiform obliquity angle was also found to have a significant correlation coefficient of 0.19546 (P value 0.0059) with Lapidus bunionectomy as the chosen procedure, as seen in Table 4.

|  | PreOP Intermetatarsal | PreOP Engle's |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|
| PreOP Cuneiform Obliquity<br>Correlation Coefficient | 0.4791                | -0.13184      |
| (P Value)  | (<.0001)              | (<.0648)      |

Table 3. Correlation between preoperative intermetatarsal and Engle's angle to cuneiform obliquity angle

| <u>Procedure</u> | Medial cuneiform obliquity angle<br>correlation coefficient (P Value) |
|------------------|---|
| Lapidus          | 0.19546<br>(0.0059)   |

Table 4. Correlation between medial cuneiform obliquity angle and a lapidus procedure performed

# tion

### **Analysis and Discussion**

A statistically significant correlation was found between preoperative intermetatarsal angle and first metatarsal-medial cuneiform obliquity angle. We also found there to be a negative correlation between Engel's angle and first metatarsal-medial cuneiform obliquity angle, suggesting it is not associated with metatarsus adductus alone. We noticed that with our 6 providers, a larger first metatarsal-medial cuneiform obliquity angle lead to greater likelihood of performing a Lapidus procedure. There have been many studies performed investigating this relationship. We set to find a correlation in those patients who have a pathologic bunion thereby requiring surgical intervention. We believe these results further add to the debate of atavistic cuneiform having a role in bunion deformity development.

#### <u>References</u>

- 1. Patel K, Hasenstein T, Meyr AJ. Quantitative assessment of obliquity of the first metatarsal-medial cuneiform articulation. J Foot Ankle Surg 2018;58:679-686.
- 2. Dayton P, Kauwe M, Kauwe JS, Feilmeier M, Hirschi J. Observed changes in first metatarsal and medial cuneiform positions after first metatarsaophalangeal joint arthrodesis. J Foot Ankle Surg 2014;53:32-35.
- 3. Hatch DJ, Smith A, Fowler T. Radiographic relevance of the distal medial cuneiform angle in hallux valgus assessment. J Foot Ankle Surg 2016;55:85-89.
- 4. Brage ME, Holmes JR, Sangeorzan BJ. The influence of x-ray orientation of the first metatarsocuneiform joint angle. Foot Ankle Int 1994;15:495-497.
- 5. Sanicola SM, Arnold TB, Osher L. Is the radiographic appearance of the hallucal tarsometatarsal joint representative of its true anatomical structure? J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 2002;92:491-498.

| s |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |