

Treatment of Stage III Adult Acquired Flatfoot Deformity With Combined Talonavicular Arthroscopic Subtalar Arthrodesis

Geoffrey B. Cady, DPM; Ryan L. McMillen, DPM, FACFAS

Division of Foot and Ankle Surgery, West Penn Hospital, Allegheny Health Network, Pittsburgh, PA

Purpose & Literature Review

Case Study

The patient is placed in the supine

position. A 2-portal technique was

used for arthroscopic subtalar joint

arthrodesis. The anterolateral portal of

the sinus tarsi was used to introduce a

30 degree 2.7 mm arthroscope. No

traction is applied. An accessory

central portal 1 cm anterior and 1 cm

distal to the tip of the fibula was

utilized to introduce the shaver for

joint debridement. The articular

surfaces of the posterior facet are then

sequentially denuded using a curette

and by switching viewing and

working portals. Fluoroscopy is used

to ensure that access to the entire joint

has been attained. A 4.5-mm burr is

then used to sequentially remove all

subchondral bone down to a bleeding

bed, taking care to maintain the overall

geometry of the joint. Demineralized

bone matrix is then applied to the

fusion site which is visualized

arthroscopically. Injectable bone graft

substitute was utilized. Provisional

fixation of the subtalar joint is

achieved via K-wire and a single 7.0

mm headless compression screw is

advanced over the guide wire.

Talonavicular arthrodesis is then

performed through a dorsal incision.

Standard joint prep of the

talonavicular joint is done via

curettage and subchondral drilling.

Demineralized bone matrix is applied

to the fusion site. The talonavicular

joint is provisionally fixated with a k-

wire and a single 5.0 mm headless

compression screw is advanced over

the guide wire. A dorsal locking plate

is then applied to span the

talonavicular joint. The patient is

nonweight bearing in a splint until 6

weeks post operation. Postoperative

radiographs are obtained a 6 week, 3

month, 6 month, and 1 year intervals.

Hindfoot arthrodesis been considered the reference standard for operative correction of advanced flat foot and arthritic deformity. Arthroscopic subtalar joint arthrodesis has the associated benefits of less soft-tissue disruption and respect of the vascularization of the calcaneus and talus, both of which may promote fusion (1). Arthroscopic portals offer excellent access to the posterior facet and have been shown to be safe and reproducible (1). While isolated arthroscopic subtalar arthrodesis was initially described in 1992 by Tasto and several subsequent investigators have reported their results using arthroscopic techniques , there have been no reports of a combined arthroscopic subtalar and open talonavicular arthrodesis (2). This cases series documents our surgical technique and the initial results of arthroscopic subtalar arthrodesis combined with traditional open talonavicular arthrodesis for treatment of stage III adult acquired flatfoot deformity. This combined surgical approach has not been described in the literature.

Methodology

5 consecutive patients with stage III adult acquire flatfoot deformity undergoing open talonavicular and arthroscopic subtalar joint arthrodesis are included in this study. Patients with preoperative MRI that showed no deltoid ligament attenuation were included in this study. Preoperative standard radiography was reviewed in each case including AP, oblique, and Lateral foot and ankle views. Talar head uncovering, Calcaneal inclination, talar declination, Kite's, and talocrural angles were measured preoperatively.

Figure 1. Pre-operative Radiographs



Figure 2. A Intraoperative fluoroscopy confirming arthroscope and shaver position in subtalar joint. B arthroscopic view of subtalar joint C QR link for arthroscopic application of injectable bone graft



Figure 3. Post-operative radiographs showing osseous fusion with no recurrence of deformity or ankle valgus



Results

All 5 patients went on to osseous fusion of the subtalar and talonavicular joint with no complications including infection or removal of hardware. There was no recurrence of deformity or incidence of ankle valgus at 1 year followup postoperatively.

Discussion

The medial approach to hindfoot fusion has been popularized owing to concerns over lateral soft tissue and bony healing in the standard triple arthrodesis (1). This procedure requires portions of the deltoid ligament to be transected to access the subtalar joint from the medial side. A theoretical concern of the medial double approach is that it could increase ankle valgus postoperatively owing to the potential for deltoid ligament disruption as a part of the medial exposure (4). Our technique preserves the integrity of the deltoid ligament and allows direct dorsal exposure for talonavicular arthrodesis joint preparation and internal fixation.

Recent innovations in surgical technique tend toward less invasive approaches allowing for a quicker recovery. There are few comparative studies of open and arthrosopic arthrodesis, but arthroscopic techniques tend to achieve quicker union, with a lower complication rate (1). A systematic review by Stegeman and colleagues reported time to bony union was 9 weeks in the arthroscopic group vs. 18 weeks in the open group (5). In recent a consecutive case series of 65 isolated arthroscopic subtalar arthrodesis there was a union rate of 95.4% after an average of 11.2 weeks (6).

Discussion

Allegheny

Health Network

Consistent with the literature, all 5 of our patients went on to osseous fusion of the subtalar and talonavicular joints with no complications.

Although the above cases only represent a small sample size, the results have been comparable to the high fusion rates that have been reported for open medial double arthrodesis with the added benefit of preservation of the deltoid ligament.

Disclosures

Dr. McMillen is a consultant for Wright Medical

References

 Roster B, et al. Subtalar joint arthrodesis: open and arthroscopic indications and surgical techniques. Foot Ankle Clin N Am 20: 319-334. 2015.
Tasto JP, et al. Arthroscopic subtalar arthrodesis. Tech Foot Ankle Surg 2:122-128. 2003.

3. Lee MS, et al. Medial approach to the severe valgus foot. Clin Podiatr Med Surg 24:735-744. 2007.

 Hyer CF, et al. Ankle valgus after hindfoot arthrodesis: a radiographic and chart comparison of the medial double and triple arthrodesis. J Foot and Ankle Surg 53: 55-58. 2014.

5. Stegeman M, et al. Outcome after operative fusion of the tarsal joints: a systematic review. J Foot Ankle Surg. 54: 636-45. 2015.

6. Rico JV, et al. Arthroscopic posterior subtalar arthrodesis: surgical technique. Arthroscopy Tech 5: e85-e88. 2016.