Do Ankle Fracture Patterns Matter in the Predictability Incidence of Ankle Arthritis? Aleksandr Emerel DPM AACFAS¹, Mica Murdoch DPM FACFAS²

Statement of Purpose

A common cause of ankle arthritis is post-traumatic, very often caused by ankle fractures. No literature has discussed if ankle fracture patterns have an effect on ankle arthritis. A retrospective analysis was preformed in displaced ankle fractures that underwent ORIF in our institution. A specific set of inclusion and exclusion criteria were created. Ankle fracture patterns were classified per Lauge-Hansen by two separate surgeons. We proposed that PER ankle fractures patterns would have the highest predictability of ankle arthritis secondary to syndesmosis injury.

Methodology & Hypothesis

A retrospective review revealed 205 ankle fractures were surgically fixed by ORIF by our institution between 2013-2017 using electronic medical records (EMR). A multitude of exclusion criteria were created to further promote results. Out of 205 patients, 62 met the inclusion criteria.

INCLUSION CRITERIA:

RESEARCH POSTER PRESENTATION DESIGN © www.PosterPresentations.com

- 1. Ages between 21-75 were only considered
- 2. No open fractures were considered
- 3. No pilon fractures were considered
- 4. No ankle fracture that had a external fixator initially placed were considered
- 5. No prior history of ankle surgery were considered
- 6. No history of prior known ankle arthritis were considered
- 7. *Patient had to be complaint in the post-operative period*
- 8. Fracture union must have been achieved by 8 weeks

Radiographs were retrospectively reviewed and classified per Lauge-Hansen pre-operatively. Radiographs reviewed in the post operative period were examined for **subchondral** sclerosis, joint space narrowing, and osteophyte formation. Any presence of one of these factors were determined to be a predictability of ankle arthritis. We hypothesized that Lauge-Hansen PER ankle fracture patterns would have the highest predictability of ankle arthritis.

Ankle fractures that underwent ORIF were identified using EMR. After proper classification pre-operatively, the patients that met the inclusion criteria were followed for a minimum period of 6 weeks. Radiographs post-operatively were inspected for subchondral sclerosis, joint space narrowing, and osteophyte formation.



PER 4 Example

1- Foot and Ankle Trauma Fellow, Broadlawns Medical Center, Des Moines, IA 2- Director of Fellowship Training, Chief of Surgery, Broadlawns Medical Center, Des Moines, IA

Procedure



SER 4 Example

Literature Review

It is well documented and researched that ankle a common sequela of ankle fractures and trauma. N investigated which factors cause or can cause post osteoarthritis. No literature has reviewed any short predictors that can cause ankle arthritis, but other have been examined in the long term.

Egol examined the predictors of short-term outcome patients undergoing ORIF for an ankle fracture. H showed that patients under the age of 40 predicted satisfactory functional recovery at 6 months comp patients who were older than 40. After 1-year foll functional recovery remained similar and age was factor.¹ They did not examine a link between age arthritis.

Saltzman retrospectively reviewed 639 cases of a and determined that 70% of patients with osteoart post-traumatic. They determined that the three mo causes of post-traumatic arthritis were rotational a fractures (37%), recurrent ankle instability (14.6%)history of a single sprain with continued pain (13) showing that ankle fractures were the most comr

Horisberger retrospectively examined 257 end-sta arthritis patients who presented to the author's clip latency time, fracture type, treatment methods, co of fracture healing, soft tissue situation, age, pain of motion, radiologic tibiotalar alignment, and rad ankle OA grading were evaluated. The latency tin injury and end-stage ankle OA was 20.9 years (1-Malleolar fracture was the most common fracture He determined that fracture severity and OA later directly correlated.³

| | Results | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| rthritis is a | AGE | FX TYPE | INJURY DATE | DAY OF SURGERY | DATE OF OSSEOUS HEALI | NG ARTHRITIC | CHANGES? | FOLLOW UP IN WEEKS | | |
| Inny have | 73 | SER4 SER4 | 6/16/15 3/1/12 | 6/24/15 3/8/12 | 5/16/12 | YE | S | 6 18 | | |
| lany nave | 43 | PER3 | 10/10/15 | 10/22/15 | 12/19/15 | N | D | 10 | | |
| t traumatic | 50 | SER3 SER4 | 3/27/17 | 4/6/17 | 6/15/17 | YE | s ר | 10 16 | | |
| t term | 54 | SER4 | 12/12/15 | 12/17/15 | 2/22/16 | N | 5 | 32 | | |
| voriablas | 65 | PER3 | 5/22/17 | 5/25/17 | 7/7/17 | N | 0 | 10 | | |
| variables | 52 | SER2 SER2 | 8/21/12 | 8/27/12 8/6/15 | 10/1/12 9/24/15 | N(N(| כ ר | 16 8 | | |
| | 34 | SER2 | 1/15/14 | 1/22/14 | 2/26/14 | N | 5 | 11 | | |
| | 54 | SER4 | 4/25/12 | 4/30/12 | 6/15/12 | N | 0 | 32 | | |
| | 38 | SER4 SER2 | 10/31/15 | 11/5/15 | 12/21/15 | N(VF |) s | 8 18 | | |
| me of 198 | 50 | SER4 | 3/17/14 | 3/24/14 | 5/6/14 | N | 5 | 8 | | |
| r' | 51 | PER3 | 11/2/13 | 11/13/13 | 2/12/14 | N |) | 12 | | |
| lis group | 61 58 | SER2 SER4 | 11/11/13 | 11/14/13 | 1/31/14 | YE | S S | 10 10 | | |
| 1 | 48 | SER2 | 12/27/13 | 1/2/14 | 3/4/14 | N | 5 | 12 | | |
| orad to | 54 | SER2 | 12/6/16 | 12/8/16 | 2/21/17 | N |) | 10 | | |
| | 31 | SER2 | 11/7/14 10/9/15 | 11/12/14 | 1/6/15 | YE | S S | 12 43 | | |
| ow up, | 46 | PER4 | 4/5/17 | 4/12/17 | 5/26/17 | N | 5 | 12 | | |
| a not a | 49 | PER4 | 1/2/14 | 1/6/14 | 3/4/14 | YE | S | 54 | | |
| 1 1 1 | 54 | SER2 | 11/19/14 | 11/26/14 | 1/29/15 | YE | S D | 26 12 | | |
| and ankle | 44 | SER2 | 2/2//16 | 2/12/15 | 3/20/10 | N |) | 11 | | |
| | 41 | SER2 | 6/8/14 | 6/12/14 | 8/4/14 | N | C | 11 | | |
| | 59 | SER2 | 2/21/15 | 3/2/15 | 5/5/15 | N | כ | 124 | | |
| | 49 | SER2 | 2/1/15 | 2/17/15 | 4/15/15 | YE | S | 19 | | |
| nkle arthritis | 32 | SER2 | 9/12/15 | 9/16/15 | 12/1/15 | N | C | 14 | | |
| hritis were | 47 | SER2 | 12/28/15 | 1/4/16 | 2/23/15 | N | C C | 48 | | |
| | 44 | PER4 | 4/30/15 9/14/16 | 4/30/15 9/19/16 | 0/16/15 | YE | S | 45 13 | | |
| ost common | 30 | SER2 | 9/14/15 | 9/21/15 | 11/3/15 | N | C | 6 | | |
| ankle | 31 | SER4 | 1/18/16 | 1/21/16 | 3/8/16 | YE | S | 30 | | |
| | 35 | SER4 SER4 | 4/22/16 | 4/28/16 | 6/10/16 | N | 2 | 10 10 | | |
| o), and | 42 | SAD2 | 8/1/15 | 8/6/15 | 9/25/15 | YE | s | 24 | | |
| .7%) | 58 | SER2 | 4/16/16 | 4/18/16 | 6/3/16 | YE | S | 60 | | |
| non^2 | 48 | SER2 SER4 | 1/7/16 | 1/20/16 | 3/3/16 | N | 2 | 12 10 | | |
| 1011. | 36 | SER2 | 6/5/15 | 6/11/15 | 7/24/15 | N | C | 10 | | |
| | 36 | PER4 | 3/22/16 | 3/24/16 | 5/27/16 | YE | S | 25 | | |
| age ankle | 28 35 | SER2 SER2 | 1/1/16 | 2/25/15 | 3/2/16 3/12/15 | N | 2 | 64 27 | | |
| | 28 | SER4 | 2/2/16 | 2/4/16 | 3/16/16 | N | כ | 8 | | |
| mc. OA | 30 | SER4 | 12/20/15 | 12/23/15 | 3/31/16 | N | 0 | 10 | | |
| mplication | 45 | SER4 PER3 | 9/20/16 9/24/16 | 9/21/16 10/5/16 | 10/27/16 11/28/16 | N(YF | S | 18 14 | | |
| score range | 36 | PER4 | 5/31/16 | 6/8/16 | 7/21/16 | N | כ | 11 | | |
| | 59 | SER4 | 3/21/16 | 4/6/16 | 5/19/16 | YE | S | 22 | | |
| liologic | 49 51 | SER4 SER4 | 1/5/15 | 1/8/15 | 8/28/15 3/9/15 | N | 2 | 106 | | |
| ne between | 54 | PER4 | 2/16/16 | 3/2/16 | 4/19/16 | YE | S | 80 | | |
| 52 | 51 | SER4 | 3/8/15 | 3/12/15 | 4/27/15 | N | 2 | 13 | | |
| 52 years). | 27 | PER4 SER4 | 6/12/16 | 6/18/16 | 1/5/16 8/5/16 | N | 2 | 10 8 | | |
| (53.2%). | 44 | SER4 | 8/12/16 | 8/18/16 | 9/27/16 | N | כ | 8 | | |
| CV Were | 60 | SER4 | 8/19/16 | 8/25/16 | 11/1/16 | YE | S | 10 | | |
| | 60 28 | SER2 SER4 | 2/5/15 8/13/16 | 2/26/15 8/18/16 | 4/15/15 | YE | >) | 13 | | |
| | 20 | , | 0,10,10 | 0,10,10 | 10,0/10 | | | | | |
| | 62 | 2 TOTAL . | ANKLE FRACT | TURES OLDE | URES OLDEST PATIENT 73 YEARS OLD | | | SHORTEST FOLLOW UP 8 WEEKS | | |
| | 11 PER | | | YOUNC | YOUNGEST PATIENT 21 YEARS OLD | | | LONGEST FOLLOW UP 124 WEEKS | | |
| | 1 SAD | | | AVE | AVERAGE AGE 45 YEARS OLD | | | AVERAGE FOLLOW UP 22 WEEKS | | |
| | | | | 62 ANKLE FRACTURES5/11 PER YES45% | | | | | | |
| | | | | 20 YES FOR A | /1 SAD YES | 100% | | | | |
| | | | 42 NO FOR ARTHRITIS 14/50 SER YES 28% | | | | | | | |

Analysis & Discussion

f 62 ankle fractures reviewed pre-operatively, 50 were ation-External Rotation, 11 were Pronation-External ion, and 1 was Supination-Adduction.

ORIF, 45% of PER, 100% of SAD, and 28% of SER fractures showed evidence of early onset post traumatic tis in the post operative period per radiological diagnosis he use of the designated criteria.

est was utilized comparing the proportions of two ations showing arthritic changes after their resultant f fracture; SER and PER fracture patterns. P-value etermined to be 0.457.

e was not a significant difference in the proportions ividuals with the characteristic of interest within the roups (P = 0.457).

ould be a factor in the incidence of ankle arthritis, but are been studies to show age did not have a predictor at one year follow-up mark.

literature did examine a link between ankle trauma y (pilon fractures) and long term ankle arthritis which ow that more severe ankle trauma can lead to ankle is at a quicker rate.

raumatic ankle arthritis remains a common sequela after fracture ORIF. There has been little discussion in the ure in regards to which Lauge-Hansen fracture pattern e highest link to progressive ankle arthritis. In our it showed that PER fracture patterns had the highest nce of predictability of arthritis. Although the P-value d that it was not significant, further study, follow-up, larger population size would need to be investigated.

References

K, Tejwani N, Walsh M, Capla E, Koval K. Predictors of short-term al outcome following ankle fracture surgery. J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 979, 2006.

man CL, Salamon ML, Blanchard GM, et al. Epidemiol- ogy of ankle report of a consecutive series of 639 patients from a tertiary orthopaedic owa Orthop J. 2005;25:44-6.

berger M, Valderrabano V, Hintermann B. Posttraumatic ankle nritis after ankle related fractures. J Orthop Trauma 2009;23(1):60-67.