

Matthew Wilson, DPM, Anson Chu, DPM, Eric So, DPM, John Thompson, DPM, Mark A. Prissel, DPM, FACFAS

INTRODUCTION:

First metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint implant arthroplasty is a viable treatment option for arthritis and deformity correction while also retaining joint motion. (1) Indications for the procedure include: hallux rigidus, hallux valgus with arthritis, geriatric bunion deformity, and iatrogenic or traumatic induced hallux MTP joint arthritis. (2) When compared to arthrodesis, first MTP joint arthroplasty has a higher incidence of complications and hardware. (5) Etiologies for various implant type failures include: foreign body synovitis, peri-prosthetic osteolysis, implant malalignment, and implant fracture, with the literature exhibiting revision rates ranging 5-74%. (5-14) Few studies exist that evaluate the success of first MTP joint arthrodesis following failed implant arthroplasty. The purpose of this systematic review is to investigate the fusion rates of 1st MTP joint arthrodesis after conversion from implant arthroplasty.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

A systematic review of electronic databases containing articles involving first MTP arthroplasty or arthrodesis was performed. Three electronic databases (Cochrane, Pubmed, OvidSP Medline) were searched in June 2018. The Table 1- Demographic Data included in Systematic Review systematic review was performed using the inclusive text **RESULTS**: word query "hallux" OR "great toe" OR "metatarsal-The search for potentially eligible information for inclusion in the systematic review yielded a total of 3,691 manuscripts. All phalangeal" OR "metatarsophalangeal" AND "arthrodesis" references identified were obtained and reviewed by each investigator in July 2018. After considering all the potentially OR "fusion" OR "arthroplasty" OR "replacement" OR eligible references, six (0.16%) met our inclusion criteria. All included studies were retrospective case series. (1,8-10,18,19) "McKeever" OR "silastic" OR "Swanson" OR "implant" OR A total of 79 feet in 76 patients with a weighted mean age of 54.9, were included (Table 1). The most common indication for "union" OR "nonunion", where the uppercase words first MTP implant arthroplasty revision to arthrodesis was persistent pain in 86.1% (n=68/79), followed by aseptic implant represent Boolean operators. There was no restriction loosening in 16.3% (n=8/49) and infection or septic implant loosening in 7.6% (n=6/79). Of the studies that reported interval placed on date, while only articles published in English were time between the primary implant arthroplasty procedure and the revision arthrodesis procedure, the average duration was included. All manuscripts were reviewed and manuscripts 42.0 months (Table 1). were included with unanimous agreement amongst investigators. Inclusion criteria required studies including Of the six included articles, radiographically confirmed nonunion rate was 16.5% (n=13/79) at a weighted mean follow-up of patient undergoing first MTP arthrodesis as a revision for 48.1 months (Table 1). The average time to fusion was 13.0 weeks. (Table 1) The revision rate for nonunion was 7.6% failed implant arthroplasty with a mean follow-up of six weeks (n=6/79) (Table 1). The overall complication rate across all included studies was 38.0% (n=30/79). (Table 3) Hardware and detail regarding complications, nonunion rates, and complications were the most common complication other than nonunion at 10.1% (n=8/79), followed by wound dehiscence patient demographics. Case reports, studies involving at 6.3% (n=5/79) and superficial infection at 5.1% (n=4/79). (Table 3) The incidence of unplanned surgical removal of salvage of polyvinyl alcohol hemiarthroplasty, and articles hardware occurred was 10.1% (n=8/79) (Table 3). The overall incidence of reoperation was 15.2% (n=12/79). (Table 3) with less than 5 reported cases were excluded. There was a wide variety of bone graft types used, with iliac crest autograft most common at 48.0% (n=36/75), followed by calcaneal autograft at 30.7% (n=23/75) and morselized resected metatarsal heads at 12.0% (n=9/75). (Table 1) Of the six included studies, two reported the size of bone block used to fill bone deficits with the average size of the bone block being 18.6mm. (18,19) The most common form of fixation that led to nonunion was combined screw and plate fixation with a nonunion rate of 21.4% (n=6/28) (Table 2). The most common bone grafting technique that led to nonunion was tricortical iliac crest autograft with a nonunion rate of 20.0% (n=8/40) (Table 2).

Nonunion rate according to fixation type							
	Nonunion rate by fixation (%)						
Screw only	2/13 (15.4)						
Lag screw + plate	6/28 (21.4)						
Plate	1/23 (4.3)						
Steinmann pin	1/7 (14.3)						
Nonunion rate according to bone graft type							
	Nonunion rate by graft type (%)						
No graft	Nonunion rate by graft type (%) 0/1 (0)						
No graft Morselized metatarsal heads	Nonunion rate by graft type (%) 0/1 (0) 0/8 (0)						
No graft Morselized metatarsal heads Tricortical iliac crest autograft	Nonunion rate by graft type (%) 0/1 (0) 0/8 (0) 8/40 (20.0)						
No graft Morselized metatarsal heads Tricortical iliac crest autograft Femoral head allograft	Nonunion rate by graft type (%) 0/1 (0) 0/8 (0) 8/40 (20.0) 0/2 (0)						
No graft Morselized metatarsal heads Tricortical iliac crest autograft Femoral head allograft Distal tibia autograft	Nonunion rate by graft type (%) 0/1 (0) 0/8 (0) 8/40 (20.0) 0/2 (0) 1/2 (50.0)						
No graft Morselized metatarsal heads Tricortical iliac crest autograft Femoral head allograft Distal tibia autograft Iliac crest allograft	Nonunion rate by graft type (%) 0/1 (0) 0/8 (0) 8/40 (20.0) 0/2 (0) 1/2 (50.0) 1/1 (100)						

Table 2- Nonunion rate according to fixation type

Incidence of Nonunion of the First Metatarsophalangeal Joint Arthrodesis After ## OhioHealth Failed Implant Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review

Orthopedic Foot and Ankle Center | Columbus, OH | 614-895-8747 | www.orthofootankle.com

Author (year) [EBM]	Total Patients	Feet (N)	Mean Age (yr)	Type of Fixation	Bone Graft	Type of Implant	Follow-up (mo) [range]	Nonunion Rate (%)	Complications (%)	Revisions (%)	Average time to fusion (weeks)	Average interval time to revision surgery (mo)
Hecht 1997 [IV]	14	16	54	(10) screw + plate, (6) steinmann pin	(5) morselized metheads, (7) iliac crestautograft, (4) n/a	(16) silicone	55 [36-94]	12.5	31.3	6.3	10.4	38.0
Brodsky 2000 [IV]	8	8	57	n/a	(8) iliac crest autograft	(1) total surface replacement, (7) silicone	n/a [Min. 5]	12.5	37.5	0	13.1	n/a
Myerson 2000 [IV]	13	13	48	(6) screw, (6) lag screw + plate, (1) steinmann pin	 (10) iliac crest autograft, (2) femoral head allograft, (1) distal tibia autograft 	(11) silastic, (2) total replacement	62.5 [28-96]	38.5	53.8	23.1	12.9	30.2
Garras 2013 [IV]	18	18	56.2	(7) screw, (11) plate	(6) iliac crest autograft,(1) distal tibia autograft,(11) calcaneal autograft	(18) metallic hemi	51.6 [14-141]	0	11.1	0	12.1	46.8
Gross 2013 [IV]	11	12	56.9	(12) lag screw + plate	 (1) no graft, (4) morselized met heads, (5) iliac crest autograft, (1) iliac crest allograft 	(7) total silicone, (3) metal-backed silicone, (2) hemi	33 [4-144]	16.7	58.3	8.3	19.9	80.0
Usuelli 2017 [IV]	12	12	58.4	(12) plate	(12) calcaneal autograft	n/a	33.4 [12-64]	25.0	50.0	8.3	11.0	15.0
Total	76	79	54.9				48.1	16.5	38.0	7.6	13.0	42.0

The methodological quality of the included studies was generally poor. All included studies provided level IV evidence (Table 1). There was heterogeneity in study type, fixation technique, indications for surgery, and study size among the included studies (Table 1).

Hecht 199 Brodsky 20 Myerson 2 Garras 201 Gross 2013 Usuelli 201

Total

Table 3- Complication and Reoperation Rate

DISCUSSION: The purpose of the present systematic review was to evaluate the incidence of nonunion of first MTP joint arthrodesis as a salvage procedure for failed implant arthroplasty. Six studies were identified that met the inclusion criteria. (1,8-10,18,19) The incidence of non-union is 16.5% at a weighted mean follow-up of 48.1 months. The weighted mean time to union was 13.0 weeks.

Our pooled results demonstrate that first MTP joint arthrodesis remains a useful salvage procedure to manage failed implant arthroplasty with unavoidable nonunion and complication rates. Resultant to the significant nonunion rate for this salvage procedure, proper patient counseling of risks, benefits, complications, and potential outcomes is paramount prior to performing both the index first MTP implant arthroplasty and the revision procedure. Further research is warranted, including methodologically sound, appropriately powered prospective cohort studies focusing on long-term outcomes comparing joint preparation techniques and fixation constructs. Beyond the need for standardized patient reported outcomes, additional outcome measures should include union rates, functional assessment, complications and cost-benefit analysis. The evidence in the current literature precludes strong recommendations with regard any singular fixation construct, bone graft type, or surgical technique.

2009:48(2):180-190. 2018:39(2):242-249. Ankle Surg. 1993;32(2):171-179. 1997;18(7):383-90. Clin Epidemiol. 2009:62(10):e1-e34 screw. Arch Bone Jt Surg. 2017. 5(4):221-22

ar)	Dehiscence (%)	Superfical Infection (%)	Hardware Complications (%)	Nonunion (%)	Reoperation Rate (%)	Revision Rate (%)	Hardware removal rate (%)
[IV]	0/16 (0)	0/16 (0)	2/16 (12.5)	2/16 (12.5)	3/16 (18.8)	1/16 (6.3)	2/10 (20)
00 [IV]	0/8 (0)	1/8 (12.5)	1/8 (12.5)	1/8 (12.5)	2/8 (25)	0/8 (0)	1/8 (12.5)
00 [IV]	0/13 (0)	2/13 (15.4)	0/13 (0)	5/13 (38.5)	0/13 (0)	3/13 (23.1)	0/13 (0)
3 [IV]	2/18 (11.1)	0/18 (0)	0/18 (0)	0/18 (0)	0/18 (0)	0/18 (0)	0/18 (0)
[IV]	2/12 (16.7)	0/12 (0)	3/12 (25)	2/12 (16.7)	5/12 (41.7)	1/12 (8.3)	3/12 (25)
7 [IV]	1/12 (8.3)	1/12 (8.3)	2/12 (16.7)	3/12 (25)	2/12 (16.7)	1/12 (16.7)	2/12 (16.7)
	5/79 (6.3)	4/79 (5.1)	8/79 (10.1)	13/79 (16.5)	12/79 (15.2)	6/79 (7.6)	8/79 (10.1)

REFERENCES:

1. Brodsky JW, Ptaszek AJ, Morris SG. Salvage First MTP Arthrodesis Utilizing ICBG: Clinical Evaluation and Outcome. Foot Ankle Int. 2000;21(4):290-96 2. Cook E, Cook J, Rosenblum B, Landsman A, Giurini J, Basile P. Meta-analysis of first metatarsophalangeal joint implant arthroplasty. J Foot Ankle Surg Off Publ Am Coll Foot Ankle Surg. 3. Cracchiolo A, Weltmer JB, Lian G, Dalseth T, Dorey F. Arthroplasty of the first metatarsophalangeal joint with a double-stem silicone implant. Results in patients who have degenerative joint disease failure of previous operations, or rheumatoid arthritis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1992;74(4):552-563. 4. Da Cunha RJ, Karnovsky SC, Fragomen AT, Drakos MC. Distraction Osteogenesis and Fusion for Failed First Metatarsophalangeal Joint Replacement: Case Series. Foot Ankle In 5. Freed JB. The increasing recognition of medullary lysis, cortical osteophytic proliferation, and fragmentation of implanted silicone polymer implants. J Foot Ankle Surg Off Publ Am Coll Foot 6. Fuhrmann RA, Wagner A, Anders JO. First metatarsophalangeal joint replacement: the method of choice for end-stage hallux rigidus? Foot Ankle Clin. 2003;8(4):711-721. 7. Gibson JNA, Thomson CE. Arthrodesis or total replacement arthroplasty for hallux rigidus: a randomized controlled trial. Foot Ankle Int. 2005;26(9):680-690. 3. Gross CE, Hsu AR, Lin J, Holmes GB, Lee S. Revision MTP Arthrodesis for Failed MTP Arthroplasty. Foot Ankle Spec. 2013;6(6):471-78.

). Garras DN, Durinka JB, Bercik M, Miller AG, Raikin SM. Conversion Arthrodesis for Failed First Metatarsophalangeal Joint Hemiarthroplasty. Foot Ankle Int. 2013;34(9):1227-32 10. Hecht PJ, Gibbons MJ, Wapner KL, Cooke C, Hoisington SA. Arthrodesis of the First Metatarsophalangeal Joint to Salvage Failed Silicone Implant Arthroplasty. Foot Ankle Int 1. Langkamer VG, Case CP, Collins C, Watt I, Dixon J, Kemp AJ, Atkins RM, Tumors around implants, J Arthroplasty, 1997;12(7);812-8 12. Lawrence BR. Thuen E. A retrospective review of the primus first MTP joint double-stemmed silicone implant. Foot Ankle Spec. 2013;6(2):94-100

3. Lemon B, Pupp GR. Long-term efficacy of total SILASTIC implants: a subjective analysis. J Foot Ankle Surg Off Publ Am Coll Foot Ankle Surg. 1997;36(5):341-346; discussion 396-397. 14. Lemon RA, Engber WD, McBeath AA. A complication of Silastic hemiarthroplasty in bunion surgery. Foot Ankle. 1984;4(5):262-266

15. Mondul M. Jacobs PM, Caneva RG, Crowhurst JA, Morehead DE. Implant arthroplasty of the first metatarsophalangeal joint: a 12-year retrospective study. J Foot Surg. 1985;24(4):275-279 16. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J. the PRISMA Statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analysis of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. 17. Coleman BD, Khan KM, Maffulli N, Cook JL, Wark JD. Studies of surgical outcome after patellar tendinopathy: clinical significance of methodological deficiencies and guidelines for future studies. Victorian Institute of Sport Tendon Study Group. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2000:10:2-11. 18. Mverson MS. Schon LC, McGuigan FX, Oznur A, Result of Arthrodesis of the Hallux Metatarsophalangeal Joint Using Bone Graft for Restoration of Length, Foot Ankle Int, 2000;21(4):297-306

19. Usuelli FG, Tamini J, Maccario C, Grassi M, Tan EW. Bone-block arthrodesis procedure in failures of first metatarsophalangeal joint replacement. Foot Ankle Surg. 2017;23:163-67. 20. Koller H, Kolb K, Zenner J, Reynolds J, Dvorak M, Acosta F, Forstner R, Mayer M, Tauber M, Auffarth A, Kathrein A, Hitzl W. Study on accuracy and interobserver reliability of the assessmen of odontoid fracture union using plain radiographs or CT scans. Eur Spine J. 2009;18(11):1659-1668 21. Politi J, Hayes J, Njus G, Bennett GL, Kay DB. First Metatarsal-Phalangeal Joint Arthrodesis: A biomechanical Assessment of Stability. Foot Ankle Int. 2003. 24(4):332-7 22. Claassen L. Plaass C. Pastor MF, Ettinger S, Wellmann M, Stukenborg-Colsman C, Waizy H, Hosseinian SHS. Comparison of Crossed-screws, locking and non-locking plate fixation with lag 23. Cone B, Staggers JR, Naranje S, Hudson P, Ingram J, Shah A. First Metatarsaophalangeal Joint Arthrodesis: Does the Addition of a Lag Screw to a Dorsal Locking Plate Influence Union Rate and/or Final Alignment after Fusion. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2018. 57(2):259-263. 24. Boone DW. Complications of iliac crest graft and bone grafting alternatives in foot and ankle surgery. Foot Ankle Clin. 2003. 8(1):1-14.

25. Mankovecky MR, Prissel MA, Roukis TS. Incidence of Nonunion of First Metatarsal-Phalangeal Joint Arthrodesis with Autogenous Iliac Crest Bone Graft after Failed Keller-Brandes Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2013:52(1):53-5. 26. Bhosale A, Munoruth A, Blundell C, Flowers MJ, Jones S, Davies MB. Complex primary arthrodesis of the first metatarsophalangeal joint after bone loss. Foot Ankle Int. 2011;32:968–972 27. Roukis TS. Nonunion after arthrodesis of the first metatarsal-phalangeal joint: a systematic review. J Foot Ankle Surg. 2011;50(6):710-3.

